

# SEVENTH CIRCUIT REVIEW

---

www.kentlaw.edu/7cr

Volume 1, Issue 1

Spring 2006

---

## CONTENTS

<i>Masthead</i>		iv
<i>Foreword</i>	Dean Harold J. Krent	v
<i>Preface</i>	Professor Hal R. Morris	vi

### Civil Procedure

<i>Seventh Circuit Moves to the Head of the Class: Recent Decisions Provide a Broad Interpretation of Federal Jurisdiction Under CAFA</i>	Meghan J. Dolan	1
<i>Cross Jurisdictional Tolling of the Statute of Limitations in Antitrust Claims: Plaintiffs Lose Their Day in Federal Court</i>	John J. Koltse	20

### Civil Rights

<i>Civil Right of Prisoners: The Seventh Circuit and Exhaustion of Remedies Under the Prison Litigation Reform Act</i>	Devin McComb	46
--	--------------	----

### Copyright

<i>Copyright, Technology &amp; The Boston Strangler: The Seventh Circuit and the Future of Online Music Access</i>	Jeffrey J. Escher	74
--	-------------------	----

### Criminal Law - Evidence

<i>Crawford v. Washington - How the Seventh Circuit Improperly Defined "Testimonial"</i>	Robert L. Windon	105
--	------------------	-----

## **Employment Law**

*The Seventh Circuit's Erosion of the Equal Pay Act* Jessica L. Lindsted 129

## **ERISA**

*Conflict of Interest and the Standard of Review in ERISA Cases: The Seventh Circuit's Refusal to Acknowledge What Other Circuits Already Know* Barbara C. Long 152

## **First Amendment**

*Stop the Presses! Seventh Circuit Censors College Student Media* Julia R. Lissner 182

## **Immigration - Asylum**

*Seeking Asylum in a Hostile System: The Seventh Circuit Reverses to Confront a Broken Process* John R. Floss 216

## **Product Liability**

*Medical Device Preemption: A Reasonable Avenue of Tort Reform?* Jacob M. Eckburg 272

## **Securities**

*Dismissing How the Purchaser-Seller Rule Affects SLUSA* Stacy A. Manning 304

*The Science of Scierter: The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act's Effect and the Long-Awaited Decision of Makor Issues & Rights, Ltd. v. Tellabs, Inc.* Julie Ann Sullivan 327

**Public Welfare – Social Security**

*Between Empathy and Economy:  
The Struggle Over the Substantial  
Evidence Standard in Social  
Security Disability Determinations*

Elaine Wyder-Harshman 357

# SEVENTH CIRCUIT REVIEW

---

www.kentlaw.edu/7cr

Volume 1, Issue 1

Spring 2006

---

**Chicago-Kent College of Law**  
**Illinois Institute of Technology**  
565 West Adams Street, Chicago, Illinois 60661

**Hal R. Morris**  
Professor

**John G. New**  
Executive Editor – Teaching Assistant

## **Editorial Staff**

Meghan J. Dolan	Julia R. Lissner
Jacob M. Eckburg	Barbara C. Long
Jeffrey J. Escher	Stacy A. Manning
John R. Floss	Devin P. McComb
John J. Koltse	Julie Ann Sullivan
Jessica L. Lindsted	Robert L. Windon
Elaine J. Wyder-Harshman	

© 2006 Chicago-Kent College of Law, Illinois Institute of Technology.

## FOREWORD

I am delighted to announce this inaugural publication of the SEVENTH CIRCUIT REVIEW at Chicago-Kent College of Law. Chicago-Kent published a comparable review 20 years ago and, after its demise, practitioners have bemoaned the lack of a concise exegesis of leading cases in this critical circuit. I am pleased that we have filled the void. No other publication analyzes the most important decisions each term of the Seventh Circuit, and the electronic format ensures that the information is disseminated in timely fashion. Third-year students at Chicago-Kent under the supervision of Professor Hal Morris have worked assiduously to bring this goal to fruition. Thanks also to Sarah Cnota and John Young for helping to ensure that the resource is user friendly. Stay tuned for further publications. Please let me know if you have any suggestions or comments at [hkrent@kentlaw.edu](mailto:hkrent@kentlaw.edu).



Harold J. Krent  
Dean and Professor of Law

## PREFACE

On a cold evening in January 2006, a group of highly motivated individuals came together at Chicago-Kent College of Law to embark on an ambitious journey. These intrepid individuals chose to be part of a highly selective honors seminar and to undertake, during the course of a single semester, the publication of a scholarly journal analyzing recent Seventh Circuit cases.

Years ago, one of the yearly issues of the CHICAGO-KENT LAW REVIEW was dedicated to a review of the recently published decisions of the Seventh Circuit. Indeed, one of my very own law review articles appears in a 1985 issue of the CHICAGO-KENT LAW REVIEW's Seventh Circuit Review. Although the CHICAGO-KENT LAW REVIEW has not published its Seventh Circuit Review for quite a number of years, it remained a dream of mine to bring it back. Because of the position the Seventh Circuit holds in our nation's jurisprudence, a scholarly review of its most recent cases serves an important function to the bar, the courts, and legal scholarship.

With the unwavering support of Dean Harold Krent, we have brought the Seventh Circuit Review back as an honors seminar and online journal. This journal could not have been possible without the tireless work of John New, my teaching assistant and the SEVENTH CIRCUIT REVIEW's executive editor, and of John Young and Sarah Cnota of the Chicago-Kent College of Law Office of Public Affairs. Of course, the greatest debt is owed to the thirteen students in the seminar who selected article topics, researched, drafted, edited, rewrote and edited again, while working within an impossibly short timeframe to put out a scholarly journal.

As you peruse the abstracts and the articles, you will immediately note the extremely wide range of topics addressed. These articles challenge the Seventh Circuit's reasoning, articulate important policy considerations, and help us understand the cases coming out of the Seventh Circuit. As the professor who drove this journal, I take responsibility for any problems and freely give to my remarkable students the praise they so richly deserve. Please let me know any thoughts you might have.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Hal R. Morris". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Hal R. Morris  
Adjunct Professor  
Partner, Arnstein & Lehr LLP