

SEVENTH CIRCUIT REVIEW

www.kentlaw.edu/7cr

Volume 3, Issue 1

Fall 2007

CONTENTS

Masthead	iv
About the SEVENTH CIRCUIT REVIEW	v

Bankruptcy

<i>Who's Left Suspended on the Line?: The Ominous Hanging Paragraph and the Seventh Circuit's Interpretation in In re Wright</i>	Simone Jones	1
--	--------------	---

Civil Procedure

<i>Outer Marker Beacon: The Seventh Circuit Confirms the Contours of Federal Question Jurisdiction in Bennett v. Southwest Airlines Co.</i>	William K. Hadler	22
---	-------------------	----

Civil Rights

<i>Determining Whether Plaintiff Prevailed is a "Close Question"—But Should It Be?</i>	Nikolai G. Guerra	58
--	-------------------	----

Criminal Law

<i>Missing the Forest for the Trees: The Seventh Circuit's Refinement of Bloom's Private Gain Test for Honest Services Fraud in United States v. Thompson</i>	Robert J. Lapointe	86
---	--------------------	----

Fourth Amendment

*Probationers, Parolees and DNA
Collection: Is This “Justice for All”?* Jessica K. Fender 312

*Krieg v. Seybold: The Seventh Circuit
Adopts a Bright Line in Favor of
Random Drug Testing* Dana E. Lobelle 367

Immigration Law – Asylum

IJ Bias: The Contamination Theory Michael Yeong Joon Ko 410

Trademarks

*The Seventh Circuit’s Approach to
Deterring the Trademark Troll: Say
Goodbye to Your Registration and
Pay the Costs of Litigation* Anna B. Folgers 452

SEVENTH CIRCUIT REVIEW

www.kentlaw.edu/7cr

Volume 3, Issue 1

Fall 2007

Chicago-Kent College of Law
Illinois Institute of Technology
565 West Adams Street, Chicago, Illinois 60661

Hal R. Morris
Professor

Justin Nemunaitis
Executive Editor – Teaching Assistant

Editorial Staff

Daniel M. Attaway
Jessica K. Fender
Anna B. Folgers
Nikolai G. Guerra
William K. Hadler
Simone Jones
Michael Yeong Joon Ko

Robert J. Lapointe
Nicole L. Little
Dana E. Lobelle
Scott J. Richard
Antonio J. Senagore
Timothy Wright
Esther Wu

© 2007 Chicago-Kent College of Law, Illinois Institute of Technology.

SEVENTH CIRCUIT REVIEW

www.kentlaw.edu/7cr

Volume 3, Issue 1

Fall 2007

ABOUT THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT REVIEW

Purpose

The SEVENTH CIRCUIT REVIEW is a semiannual, online journal dedicated to the analysis of recent opinions published by the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit. The SEVENTH CIRCUIT REVIEW seeks to keep the legal community abreast of developments and trends within the Seventh Circuit and their impact on contemporary jurisprudence. The articles appearing within the SEVENTH CIRCUIT REVIEW are written and edited by Chicago-Kent College of Law students enrolled in the SEVENTH CIRCUIT REVIEW Honors Seminar.

The SEVENTH CIRCUIT REVIEW Honors Seminar

In this seminar, students author, edit, and publish the SEVENTH CIRCUIT REVIEW. The REVIEW is entirely student written and edited. During each semester, students identify cases recently decided by the Seventh Circuit to be included in the REVIEW, prepare initial drafts of case comments or case notes based on in-depth analysis of the identified cases and background research, edit these drafts, prepare final, publishable articles, integrate the individual articles into the online journal, and "defend" their case analysis at a semester-end roundtable. Each seminar student is an editor of the REVIEW and responsible for extensive editing of other articles. Substantial assistance is provided by the seminar teaching assistant, who acts as the executive editor.

The areas of case law that will be covered in each journal issue will vary, depending on those areas of law represented in the court's recently published opinions, and may include:

- Americans with Disabilities Act
- antitrust
- bankruptcy
- civil procedure
- civil rights
- constitutional law
- copyright
- corporations
- criminal law and procedure
- environmental
- ERISA
- employment law
- evidence
- immigration
- insurance
- products liability
- public welfare
- securities

This is an honors seminar. To enroll, students must meet *one* of the following criteria: (1) cumulative GPA in previous legal writing courses of 3.5 and class rank at the time of registration within top 50% of class, (2) recommendation of Legal Writing 1 and 2 professor and/or Legal Writing 4 professor, (3) Law Review membership, (4) Moot Court Honor Society membership, or (5) approval of the course instructor.