

If the state statute authorizes jurisdiction, then the 14th Amendment permits the assertion, and full faith and credit demands enforcement of the judgment by the other states, if the assertion of jurisdiction comports with:

Due Process

Jurisdiction

Nature and quality of Δ's acts in the forum, in relationship to the fair and orderly administration of the laws, and consequent foreseeability of jxn (WWVW)

Invoked basis and reasonable notice

Minimum Contacts (Shoe)

Power Theory (Pennover)

Presence of person when personally served (Burnham)

Presence of property when attached (but see Shaffer)

Presence of status (marital)

Citizenship/domicile/residence (?)

Consent (actual or implied)

--Actual, contractual (Bremen, Carnival Cruise)
--Implied by litigation activities (e.g., Bauxite)
--Implied by non-litigation activities - query viability

Suit/state contacts and no undue burden (Brennan in WWVW)

NOTE: Having found Purposeful Availing, always consider suit/state contacts (Fair and Orderly Administration of the Laws): State interest, convenience, location of witness and evidence, applicable law, rational allocation among the states, etc.

Purposefully Availing itself of the privilege of conducting activities within the forum state, thus invoking the benefits and protections of its laws (Hansen)

From Law

Economically, from market

Chosen Intent to Benefit

Foreseeability (of being haled into court) (WWVW)

Actual activity within the forum state

Out of state acts that invoke the benefits and protection of foreign law (claim must be related to that out of state act)

If act is single, claim must arise out of that act (ex. car accident; McGee) I/R

If acts are continuous and systematic

Intentional causation of the injurious impact in the forum state (Calder)

Intentional Affiliation with the forum Actor (Burger King) [K-type situations] (multi-factor test)

Stream of Commerce (Gray and WWVW)

1. Trace product that caused injury.
2. Stream ends with purchase by ultimate consumer
3. May need targeting (Asahi) - design, advertising, etc
4. Belonging to the corporate network is insufficient for jxn outside of the corporate HQ (WWVW)
5. Query sufficiency of non-forum acts designed to pull others from interstate market (e.g. border or interstate highway gas stations)

No jxn if I/U

If claim arises out of continuous and systematic activity, the jurisdiction is clear, especially if the injury occurred in forum state (Int'l Shoe) C&S/R

If the claim is unrelated, consider

1. Substantiality of in-state activity (is it ever enough, absent one of the other factors?)
2. Citizenship/domicile (PPB)/ permanent residence + activity? (Helicopters)
3. Necessity (Perkins, Mullane)
4. Identical acts in forum/no different burden (Audi in WWVW) C&S/U

It is here that suit/state contacts (FOA) are most often influential, but always should consider them

NOTE: The line between /R and /U is unclear, and one may define "Relatedness" in different ways