

SBA Meeting Agenda
February 26, 2006

- I. Present
 - a. Khalili – excused absence; proxy vote to Winkler
 - b. Jasmine Simmons – excused absence; proxy vote to Winkler
 - c. Lash – excused; proxy vote to Winkler
 - d. Ali – excused; proxy to Levy
 - e. Freeman – excused absence
 - f. Beckenbaugh - excused absence,
- II. Opening Remarks
 - a. Take a
- III. Approval of Jan. 17 Meeting Minutes
 - a. Motion – Powell
 - b. 2nd – Demetriou
 - c. All ay's
- IV. Officer Reports
 - a. President
 - i. Take a Break Series doing good
 - 1. given praise, thank you's.
 - 2. Joel – complaints about the food running out.
 - 3. JB – have talked about it and will be better
 - ii. Million dollar donation from alum
 - 1. used toward the creation of student housing on Jackson and Jefferson
 - 2. buy and convert into student housing, alternative to student housing.
 - 3. Tell all your friends and in your classes
 - 4. the more that this is done the better ranking
 - iii. Get Judge Leftkow to come in?
 - 1. very supportive
 - 2. Possibly in April
 - 3. Levy – maybe wait until next year.
 - 4. Spangler – did she want a particular topic?
 - a. JB – she asked for a topic.
 - b. Maybe a lunch and learn
 - 5. Demetriou – more interesting topic too because her personality is so warm.
 - 6. Spangler – security challenges, role of the judiciary; role of the judge in society
 - b. VP Day – JG
 - i. ABA 7th Circuit Meeting
 - 1. Thank you's
 - 2. Women's Bar association – Judicial reception, March 15.
 - a. Help out?
 - b. Need 2-3 volunteers : Charlotte and Cameron

- 3. Kent was awarded the Bronze Key; most improved in the Circuit
 - c. VP Night – Tressa
 - d. Treasurer - RL
 - i. We have to get approval for two things.
 - 1. Commentator wanted to reallocate funds, and we took an event and that was approved.
 - 2. ILPS needed 200 more for a speaker event.
 - 3. Vote: All Ays'
 - ii. Reinforce policy for national conventions
 - 1. 1 person can get funding to go to National Convention. Also, one more person if you are sitting on the national board of the organization.
 - 2. ABA meeting – discretion to fund an additional member. The President will go and the ABA member as well.
 - 3. It is a discretion to fund a person sitting on the national board to send them to the national convention.
 - iii. Orgs have been great about supplemental funding.
 - 1. Joel – if anybody wants a copy of the financial code I formatted it into a PDF
 - e. Secretary
- V. New Business
 - a. Funding for Certain activities
 - i. Sue Clark
 - 1. Protest couple weeks ago when the military law society had a JAG officer. They hosted this event with SBA funds and it was a discriminatory organization.
 - 2. Solomon – won't back employers who support minority groups which included sexual orientation. Basically, no government funds
 - 3. Amelioration – posts signs when the military comes. This is considered necessary but not sufficient. Looking through ALS information. Schools aren't supposed to do activities that don't go unnoticed. Shouldn't place a burden on the students.
 - 4. Worked with Dean Sowle. Working with getting amelioration.
 - 5. Look into taking measures and consider whether they are taking into account whether they are giving funds to discriminatory groups.
 - 6. One possible resolution – just not fund that lunch but not say that they can't come.
 - ii. J Gordon
 - 1. is this addressed in the financial code ?
 - iii. Spangler – no,
 - iv. Sue Clark – still supporting a discriminating message though.
 - v. Maren – asking for purposes in the future to make sure we know who is speaking

- vi. Demetriou – agree on a personal level. Devil’s advocacy: had access to David Duke, then should we not bring it in? If we have somebody comes in with a contradictory method.
- vii. Sue Clark – it would be nice that the SBA take a stance. Come up with something. Doesn’t want to cut off spending.
- viii. Spangler – rather us make a statement, fund, or fund with a disclaimer that we don’t necessarily support this.
- ix. Sue Clark – that would work too. Would rather be more inclusive than exclusive. Come up with a statement that this event against the code.
- x. Demetriou – make a case by case assessment, or a general statement that is included in all times.
- xi. Powell – a problem because they came or problem because they came to talk about employment.
- xii. Spangler – do you want a disclaimer that this is not necessarily supportive.
- xiii. Sue – not wanting to cut funding.
- xiv. Spangler – what exactly do you want the SBA to do?
- xv. Sue – Not really. Other law schools kick in a lot of cash into the LGBT to send them to the conventions. Giving opportunity to set up a speaker event.
- xvi. Spangler – a general statement that this person’s views or speakers views don’t necessarily support the speaker,
- xvii. Powell- well we could have that, but we already act in that behalf.
- xxviii. Gordon – required people to read literature about the speaker.
- xix. Spangler – talk to Dean Sowle to think about what we can consider.
- xx. Sue Clark – amelioration guide.
- xxi. Demetriou – have a debate about it. It would definitely bring a interesting topic
- xxii. Spangler – we will see what we can do and at least consider. I will contact you about this
- xxiii. Sue Clark – part of a freedom of information act request in process right now.
- xxiv. Gordon – talk about the problem about phone calls. Specifically with the LAMBDAS there are random and vague questions about how many members and who is the President. So if you are done in the SBA office, don’t give that information. Dean Sowle said to get done their information and the individuals would get together with Dean Sowle and figure this out.
- xxv. Taking the issue and give it to the Constitution and By-Laws
- b. Proposed amendment to the Constitution – New Exec board position (VP External)
 - i. Committee is currently composed of 6 people. It is split in half. It can be approved and disproved by the entire BOG.
 - ii. Gordon – Argument:
 - 1. if other members were to take a more vital role and stepped up, so why do we need to have an additional member.
 - 2. The VP evening division is not here.

3. Finding the right people to take on this job, and had the ability to take this job. I wonder if when it comes time to decide to run for elections, is this worth it. There are 1Ls and 2Ls that don't come because they don't want to come, even though they have to represent their constituents.
 4. Would this actually help?
- iii. Levy –
1. don't think as much as a problem with the Night Division. They need to be represented more. There are at least 2x as many day students than night students. Almost makes sense to have twice as much participation.
 2. Evening needs to be more represented.
 3. Always needs to take into account
- iv. Spangler –
1. problem is that the SBA doesn't activate its regular membership.
 2. when you first start, you don't really know what to do. Lots of problems is when you activate the committee process. Getting people involved at the lower level.
 3. If still didn't have the capability to take this over
- v. Booras –
1. first and foremost, ideally, this is good to have your night VP involved and of course it is great if everybody is getting involved, but what happens if we don't.
 2. If we force them, and say we are going to dismiss you, and we are going to replace you. What happens to the people who don't want to be replaced and half ass it and don't want it to do anything.
 3. The label creates the impression that you have to do something. You are going to get a VP that is more about it. The worst thing is that there is a person when this person doesn't get this shit done.
- vi. Felber –
1. coming into it, didn't know what to expect. Maybe I would do more if I were approached more.
- vii. Maren –
1. twice as many people, lots of more work for VP Day and Night.
 2. Sees Jason doing things at all the time
- viii. Gordon –
1. things fall through the cracks and things don't get done. Part of this is my fault for taking on too much. Took charge after meeting with Marc McCallister. Wanted to do more than him and really set standard with law Week.
 2. Marc said he would run for VP if all I have to do is Law Week.
 3. He didn't do shit for the majority of the time.
 4. Heads of committees don't head their committees.
 5. One of those things that we need substantive change to go forward.
 6. Want to see some substantive improvement. How to implement it from a different stand.

ix. Wortham –

1. Arguments against it; we come in and don't really know what is going on. It is easy to not come. If the mood was set then it will change.
2. If you create an atmosphere where everybody wants to be there and feel responsible for doing something. You do have a lot on your plate now, then it would be more people to feel lazy.

x. Levy –

1. Pres deals with so much stuff to deal with where it is hard to
2. External – enforcement of the ABA
3. increase more CBA and ISBA and work more with them.
4. It would really split up and you can do so much more to do things.
5. It is so hard to do so much to look over the entire thing.
6. VP Internal can do so much more. What can you directly get involved in? More overlook among the committees. The VP Internal can take on that.

c. Motion to table; Demetriou moves

d. Gordon seconds

VI. Old Business

VII. MOM

a. Powell

VIII. Roll Out